

**AEDPA STANDARD OF REVIEW
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<i>Standard Of Review Mandated By Statute</i>	1
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362 (2000)	1
<i>Holland v. Jackson</i> , 542 U.S. 649 (2004)	1
<i>Price v. Vincent</i> , 538 U.S. 634, 639 (2003)	1
<i>Not A De Novo Independent Inquiry</i>	2
<i>Yarborough v. Alvarado</i> , 541 U.S. 652, at 665 (2004)	2
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 411 (2000)	2
<i>Price v. Vincent</i> , 538 U.S. 634, 641 (2003)	2
<i>Bell v. Cone</i> , 535 U.S. 685, 699 (2002)	2
<i>Lockyer v. Andrade</i> , 538 U.S. 63, 75 (2003)	2
<i>Price v. Vincent</i> , 538 U.S. 634, 639 (2003)	2
<i>Factual Findings Stand Unless “Objectively Unreasonable”</i>	2
<i>Miller-El v. Cockrell</i> , 537 U.S. 322, 340 (2003)	3
<i>Price v. Vincent</i> , 538 U.S. 634, 639-640 (2003)	3
<i>Early v. Packer</i> , 537 U.S. 3, 8-9 (2002)	3
<i>Yarborough v. Alvarado</i> , 451 U.S. 652, at 665-667(2004)	3
<i>Applications of USSC Law Stand Unless “Objectively Unreasonable”</i>	3
<i>Lockyer v. Andrade</i> , 538 U.S. 63, 75 (2003)	4
<i>Early v. Packer</i> , 537 U.S. 3, 7-8 (2002)	4
<i>Bell v. Cone</i> , 535 U.S. 685, 699 (2002)	4
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 405 (2000)	4
<i>Early v. Packer</i> , 537 U.S. 3, 10 (2002)	4
<i>Mitchell v. Esparza</i> , 540 U.S. 12, at 17 (2003)	5
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 406 (2000)	5
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 407 (2000)	6
<i>Woodford v. Visciotti</i> , 537 U.S. 19, 24-25 (2002)	6
<i>Bell v. Cone</i> , 535 U.S. 685, 698-699 (2002)	6
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 410-411 (2000)	6
<i>Yarborough v. Alvarado</i> , 541 U.S. 652, 665-666 (2004)	6
<i>Lockyer v. Andrade</i> , 538 U.S. 63, 75 (2003)	6
<i>Yarborough v. Alvarado</i> , 451 U.S. 652, 664(2004)	7
<i>Lockyer v. Andrade</i> , 538 U.S. 63,71 (2003)	7
<i>Terry Williams v. Taylor</i> , 529 U.S. 362, 412 (2000)	7
<i>Early v. Packer</i> , 573 U.S. 3, 8 (2002)	7

***The Habeas Petitioner, Not The Warden, Carries The Burden
To Show A State Court Judgment To Be “Objectively Unreasonable”*** 7

Woodford v. Visciotti, 537 U.S. 19, 25 (2002) 7

State Court Decisions Should Be Given “The Benefit Of The Doubt” 7

Holland v. Jackson, 542 U.S. 649, 655 (June 28, 2004) 8

Woodford v. Visciotti, 537 U.S. 19, 24 (2002) 8

Selected United State Supreme Court Case Notes

Bell v. Cone, 535 U.S. 685, 122 S. Ct. 1843, (May 28, 2002) 8

Early v. Packer, 537 U.S. 3 (November 4, 2002) 13

Mitchell v. Esparza, 124 S. Ct. 7, 2003 U.S. LEXIS 8191 (November 3, 2003) 15

Terry Williams v. Taylor, 529 U.S. 362 (April 18, 2000) 16

Woodford v. Visciotti, 537 U.S. 19 (November 4, 2002) 21